



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,265	04/24/2001	Thomas L. Keller	249768042US	5619
25096	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
P.O. BOX 124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, V	VA 98111-1247		. 3623	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		09/842,265	KELLER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susanna M. Diaz	3623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	<u>oril 2001</u> .				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit					
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not received	I.			
Attachment	(e)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)			
	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/842,265

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-38 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9, drawn to allowing customers to judge the level of usefulness of the reviews of various reviewers and ranking the reviewers based on scores, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
  - II. Claims 10-20, drawn to the details of the formula for deriving a score for an author's reviews, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
  - III. Claims 21-24, drawn to rewarding sources of useful reviews, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
  - IV. Claims 25-34, drawn to the details of publishing laudatory messages about a selected author, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
  - V. Claims 35-38, drawn to prioritizing received item reviews and determining which reviews are to be more expeditiously processed, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in
  this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does
  not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)
  that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the details of the formula for deriving the score for each author's reviews. The subcombination has separate utility such as rating an individual author, regardless of whether or not he/she is ranked against other authors.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as rewarding an author for all written contributions, regardless of how he/she compares to other authors. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the details of publishing laudatory messages about a selected author. The subcombination has separate utility such as publishing positive aspects of an author's written contributions, regardless of how he/she compares to other authors.

Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such

Application/Control Number: 09/842,265

Art Unit: 3623

as determining which information among a set of information to process more expeditiously. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as deriving a precise quantitative score of an author's written contributions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as deriving a precise quantitative score of an author's written contributions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as deriving a precise quantitative score of an author's written contributions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as financially encouraging written contributions from an author. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

806.05(d).

Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as financially encouraging written contributions from an author. See MPEP §

Page 5

Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as determining which information among a set of information to process more expeditiously. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and several of the groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, II, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group I, II, III, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Group I, II, III, or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was not attempted because the requirement for restriction is complex due to the number of groups. See MPEP § 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/842,265

Art Unit: 3623

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Susanna Diaz Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

Page 7

May 16, 2005